Why buy 14/90/90 conventional LoH at a rate of $2.00 \%$ instead of $7 / 97 / 97$ at a rate of $2.37 \%$ ?

## Background:

Until 25-30 years ago, conventional LoH was available in the market with a lower deductible, usually 7 days, but underwriters stopped writing it after the losses in the 7 to 14 days' layer of a casualty got too high and business became unsustainable.

Since then, many first-class owners were forced to switch to full self-insurance for the first 14 days despite impeccable loss records, as a 14 days' deductible became a constant feature.

## What are the figures?

## Conventional LoH

If we look at the most common LoH package, 14/90/90, the average rate for a ship owner with decent records will be around $2.00 \%$, so with a declared daily insured amount of USD10 000 the annual premium for one vessel will be USD900 000* $2 \%$, i.e. USD18 000.

Is that the true cost to the shipowner? The answer is no!

The real cost for an Owner who has a claim for loss of earning during a delay of say 30 days will be:

■ The USD18,000 due to the LoH insurers, plus

- The deductible of 14 days * USD10,000, i.e.: USD140,000

■ The reinstatement premium, calculated on the number of used LoH days.

Therefore, USD158,000 is the minimum cost for an Owner before they start recovering from their conventional LoH policy.

## PLoE

Let's look at the mathematics of buying a PLoE cover that would enable a ship owner to stop the losses after only 7 days.

If we use the same example as above and add the PLoE rate that Nordic would probably apply to the same shipowner profile, you will get the following picture:

The likely annual rate to cover 7 days in excess of 7 days' deductible would be 50.00\% of the daily Insured Amount (DIA), i.e.: a maximum annual premium per ship of USD5,000.

As for the conventional LoH, let's work out the true cost to a shipowner, i.e.: a claim for loss of earning during a delay of 14 days or more:

■ The USD5,000 for Nordic's PLoE cover, plus

■ The deductible of 7 days * USD10,000, i.e.: USD70,000.

Therefore, USD75,000 is the minimum cost for an Owner before they start recovering from their conventional LoH policy. In other words:

By "spending" an additional USD5,000 for Nordic's PLoE cover, the true cost of protecting one's earnings is reduced by USD65,000!

NB: with or without conventional LoH being in place.

Let's take it one step further.

Combined Cover:
PLoE and Conventional LoH
Let's look at the mathematics of buying a combined PLoE and LoH insurance cover that would enable a ship owner to stop the loss of earnings after only 7 days.

If we use the same example as above, we get the following picture:

By adding the USD18,000 for conventional LoH to the USD5,000 for PLoE we have a total cost of USD23,000, i.e.: a total rate of $2.37 \%$.

Concurrently, the true cost of protecting one's earnings is reduced by USD65,000!


